

# MEDICAL SCHOLAR RESEARCH PATHWAY PROGRAM

## MRTA Rubric

<b>Application Date:</b>	MM	DD	YYYY	<b>Application ID</b>			
<b>Medical Student Name:</b>							
<b>Work Title:</b>							
<b>Type of work:</b> [check]	Poster	Talk	Workshop	Other:			
<b>Event Name:</b>							
<b>Type of event:</b> [check]	State	National	Country	<b>Event Date</b>	MM	DD	YYYY
<b>Reviewer:</b>				<b>Review Date</b>	MM	DD	YYYY
<b>Score:</b>				<b>Awarded</b> [check]	No	Partial	All
<b>Requested Amount:</b>				<b>Awarded Amount:</b>			

### Score 1: Scholarly Work

	Strongly Disagree	1	2	3	4	5	Strongly Agree	Score
The applicant <b>summarizes</b> the research study using precise <b>scientific or formal language</b> for the discipline of the study		1	6	9	12	15		
The applicant summarizes the research to be presented in <b>clear, precise terms that non-experts can understand.</b>		1	2	3	4	5		
The applicant articulates the <b>unmet need or research hypothesis</b> in their abstract		1	4	6	8	10		
The applicant includes research <b>methodology used</b> that seems appropriate for the type of study		1	2	3	4	5		
The applicant states <b>conclusions/outcomes</b> that are supported by the results presented		1	2	3	4	5		
The applicant use <b>proper spelling and grammar</b> , avoids colloquial vocabulary		1	2	3	4	5		
							Total score	

Comments:

### Score 2: Medical Student Professional Development

# MEDICAL SCHOLAR RESEARCH PATHWAY PROGRAM

The applicant must explain the importance of the event to their professional development. If the applicant is thinking about applying to residency programs during their third year in medical school, the student should be specific as to how this specific event aids in increasing their competitive edge.

Description	Range
The applicant provides specific details on the relevance of the event, stating future participation in a research discipline, residency program at a specific institution or university, and describes program eligibility criteria or other items regarding their future research plans.	20-35
The applicant provides more general information regarding how research participation during medical school contributes to choosing a desired residency program.	6-19
The applicant provides a description of the event but is vague in explaining the relevance to their research.	3-5
The relevance of the event to the applicant's professional development is under-developed.	1-2
The applicant does not clearly state how the event will benefit the applicant's professional development	0

Comments:

Score

### Score 3: Budget and Funding

The applicant demonstrates attempts to seek other travel funds and cost-saving measures. Applicant provides a detailed and complete information regarding their budget in their application. Please score each row for each applicant.

	Values				Score	
	NO attempt	Few	Some	Outstanding		
The applicant explicitly provides evidence of cost reduction measures	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>		
The applicant provides evidence of effort to obtain additional travel funds to attend conference or symposia	No evidence of effort <b>0</b>	Source From the PI <b>3</b>	At least one external source <b>4</b>	Outstanding – > 2 sources <b>5</b>		
The applicant provides required form signatures	None provided <b>0</b>	Just the student signature <b>1</b>	One missing <b>3</b>	Complete <b>5</b>		
The applicant provides an itemized list of the travel budget for the conference expenses	Blank <b>0</b>	Missing elements <b>1</b>	Missing one element <b>3</b>	Complete <b>5</b>		
Comments:					Score	